## Lecture 16

## (Cayley Hamilton Theorem, minimal polynomial & Diagonalizability)

**Theorem 1. Cayley-Hamilton Theorem**: Every square matrix satisfies its characteristic equation, that is, if f(x) is the characteristic polynomial of a square matrix A, then f(A) = 0.

**Example 2.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Find inverse of A using Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

Solution: The characteristic polynomial of A is  $f(x) = x^3 - 2x^2 + 1$ . The constant term of f(x) = 1 = det(A), the matrix A is invertible. By Cayley-Hamilton Theorem f(A) = 0. Therefore  $A^3 - 2A^2 + I = 0$ ,  $0 \Rightarrow A^{-1} = -A^2 + 2A \Rightarrow -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$ 

**Definition 3.** A polynomial m(x) is said to be the minimal polynomial of A if

- $(i) \ m(A) = 0;$
- (*ii*) m(x) is a monic polynomial (the coefficient of the highest degree term is 1);
- (*iii*) if a polynomial g(x) is such that g(A) = 0, then m(x) divides g(x).

Remark 4. 1. The minimal polynomial of a matrix is unique.

2. The minimal polynomial divides its characteristic polynomial.

**Theorem 5.** The minimal polynomial and the characteristic polynomial have the same roots.

**Proof:** Let f(x) and m(x) be the characteristic and minimal polynomial of a matrix respectively. Then f(x) = g(x)m(x). If  $\alpha$  is a root of m(x), then it is also a root of f(x). Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is a root of f(x), then  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix. Therefore, there is a non-zero eigenvector v such that  $Av = \alpha v$ , this implies  $m(A)v = m(\alpha)v$ , *i.e.*,  $m(\alpha)v = 0$ , and  $v \neq 0$  so that  $m(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Theorem 6.** Similar matrices have the same minimal polynomials.

**Proof:** Let A and B be two similar matrices. Then  $A = P^{-1}BP$  for some invertible matrix P. Let  $m_1(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + x^n$  and  $m_2(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \ldots + x^l$  be the respective minimal polynomials of A and B. Then  $m_2(A) = 0$ , which implies  $m_1(x)|m_2(x)$ . Similarly  $m_1(B) = 0$ , which implies  $m_2(x)|m_1(x)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 7.** Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{F}$  be all eigenvalues of A, where  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . The matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if its minimal polynomial is a product of distinct linear polynomials, that is,  $m(x) = (x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2) \cdots (x - \lambda_k)$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Example 8.** A matrices  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $A^2 - 3A + 2I = 0$  is diagonalizable.

**Solution:** Take  $g(x) = x^2 - 3x + 2$ , then g(A) = 0. Note that g(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2) and the minimal polynomial m(x) of A divides g(x). Therefore, either m(x) = (x - 1) or m(x) = (x - 2) or m(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2). In either of the case, the minimal polynomial is a product of distinct linear polynomials, hence diagonalizable.