

**Indian Institute of Information Technology Allahabad**  
**Probability and Statistics (PAS)**  
**C3 Review Test Tentative Marking Scheme**

Program: B.Tech. 3<sup>rd</sup> Semester (IT+ECE)

Duration: **02 Hours**

Date: November 24, 2020

Full Marks: 46

Time:: 11:00 IST - 13:00 IST

1. Let  $F(\cdot)$  be any c.d.f. and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Find the set  $A$  such that  $G(x) = (1+\lambda)F(x) - \lambda F^2(x)$  becomes a c.d.f. of some random variable for all  $\lambda \in A$ . [3]

**Solution.** For all values of  $\lambda$ ,  $G(\cdot)$  is right continuous at every point,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x) = 1$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} G(x) = 0$ . [1]

For  $G$  to be a c.d.f., for  $x_1 < x_2$ ,

$$G(x_2) - G(x_1) = (F(x_2) - F(x_1))(1 + \lambda - \lambda(F(x_1) + F(x_2))) \geq 0, \quad [1]$$

which implies that

$$1 + \lambda - \lambda(F(x_1) + F(x_2)) \geq 0, \quad \because (F(x_2) - F(x_1)) \geq 0. \quad [1]$$

Case I: For  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $1 + \lambda - \lambda(F(x_1) + F(x_2)) \geq 1 - \lambda > 0$ . Hence  $G$  will be a c.d.f..

Case II: For  $-1 \leq \lambda < 0$ ,  $1 + \lambda - \lambda(F(x_1) + F(x_2)) \geq 1 + \lambda \geq 0$ . Hence  $G$  will be a c.d.f..

Case III: For  $\lambda > 1$ , take an  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $\epsilon \leq \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}$ . Now, we can choose  $x_1, x_2$  and a c.d.f.  $F$  (e.g. uniform) such that  $F(x_1) = 1 - \epsilon$ ,  $F(x_2) = 1$ .

This implies that  $1 + \lambda - \lambda(F(x_1) + F(x_2)) \leq 0$ . So,  $G$  is not a c.d.f..

Case IV: For  $\lambda < -1$ , take an  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $\epsilon \leq \frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}$ . Now, we can choose  $x_1, x_2$  and a c.d.f.  $F$  (e.g. uniform) such that  $F(x_1) = 0$ ,  $F(x_2) = \epsilon$ .

This implies that  $1 + \lambda - \lambda(F(x_1) + F(x_2)) \leq 0$ . So,  $G$  is not a c.d.f..

Combining all cases, we conclude that  $G$  is a c.d.f. for all  $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$ .

2. Suppose  $X$  follows Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Find the point  $x$  where  $P(X = x)$  is maximum. [4]

**Solution.**  $P(X = k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}$  and  $P(X = k - 1) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{(k-1)}}{(k-1)!}$  [1]

$$\frac{P(X=k)}{P(X=k-1)} = \frac{\lambda}{k} \quad [1]$$

Thus,  $P(X = x)$  is increasing when  $x \leq \lambda$  and decreasing when  $x \geq \lambda$ . [1]

Therefore, at  $x = \lfloor \lambda \rfloor$ ,  $P(X = x)$  is maximum. [1]

3. Let  $X$  has an exponential distribution with parameter  $\theta$ . Let  $Y = X - a$ , where  $a \geq 0$ . Find a relation between  $P(Y \leq x \mid X \geq a)$  and  $P(X \leq x)$  for all  $x$ . [6]

**Solution.**

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{Y \leq x\} \cap \{X \geq a\}) &= P(\{X - a \leq x\} \cap \{X \geq a\}) \\ &= P(\{X \leq a + x\} \cap \{X \geq a\}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } x < 0, P(\{X \leq a + x\} \cap \{X \geq a\}) = 0 \quad [1]$$

$$\text{If } x \geq 0, P(\{X \leq a + x\} \cap \{X \geq a\}) = P(a \leq X \leq a + x) = e^{-a\theta}(1 - e^{-\theta x}). \quad [1]$$

$$P(X \geq a) = e^{-a\theta} \quad [1]$$

$$P(Y \leq x | X \geq a) = 1 - e^{-\theta x} \quad [1]$$

$$P(X \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\theta x}, \text{ if } x \geq 0 \text{ and } P(X < x) = 0, \text{ if } x < 0. \quad [1]$$

$$\text{Therefore, } P(Y \leq x | X \geq a) = P(X \leq x). \quad [1]$$

4. Let  $Z$  be the standard normal random variable. Show that

$$P(|Z| \geq t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{t}, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad [6]$$

**Solution.** Given that  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable, therefore

$$P(Z \geq t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx. \quad [1]$$

Since  $x/t > 1$  for  $x > t$ , we have  $[1]$

$$P(Z \geq t) \leq \int_t^\infty \frac{x}{t} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx. \quad [1]$$

This gives

$$P(Z \geq t) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{t}. \quad [1]$$

Since  $Z$  is a symmetric random variable, we have  $P(|Z| \geq t) = 2P(Z \geq t)$ .  $[1]$

Hence,

$$P(|Z| \geq t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{t}. \quad [1]$$

5. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  have the joint probability density function

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 24xy, & x > 0, y > 0, x + y \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Find  $Var[X|Y = y]$ .  $[5]$

**Solution:** The marginal p.d.f. of  $Y$  is

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx = \int_0^{1-y} 24xy dx = \begin{cases} 12y(1-y)^2, & 0 < y < 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad [1]$$

The conditional p.d.f. of  $X$ , given  $Y = y$  is

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} = \begin{cases} \frac{2x}{(1-y)^2}, & 0 < x < 1-y \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad [1]$$

$$E[X|Y = y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx = \int_0^{1-y} \frac{2x^2}{(1-y)^2} dx = \frac{2(1-y)}{3}. \quad [1]$$

$$E[X^2|Y = y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx = \int_0^{1-y} \frac{2x^3}{(1-y)^2} dx = \frac{(1-y)^2}{2}. \quad [1]$$

$$Var[X|Y = y] = E[X^2|Y = y] - (E[X|Y = y])^2 = \frac{(1-y)^2}{2} - \frac{4(1-y)^2}{9} = \frac{(1-y)^2}{18}. \quad [1]$$

6. Using Central Limit Theorem, find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-nt} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(nt)^k}{k!}, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad [11]$$

**Solution.** Consider a sequence of *i.i.d* random variables  $\{X_n\}$  such that  $X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(t)$  for all  $n$ . [1]

Then,  $E(X_n) = \text{Var}(X_n) = t$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(nt)$  for all  $n$ .  $[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + 1]$

Now,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-nt} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(nt)^k}{k!} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq (n-1)\right) \quad [1]$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{1-nt}{\sqrt{nt}} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - nt}{\sqrt{nt}} \leq \frac{(n-1) - nt}{\sqrt{nt}}\right) \quad [1]$$

$$= \begin{cases} P(-\infty \leq Z \leq \infty), & \text{if } 0 < t < 1 \\ P(-\infty \leq Z \leq 0), & \text{if } t = 1 \\ P(-\infty \leq Z \leq -\infty), & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases} \quad [1+1+1]$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 < t < 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } t = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases} \quad [1+1+1]$$

7. One observation  $X$  is taken from a  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  population. [4]

(a) Find an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

**Solution.** Given that  $X$  follows  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

This implies  $E(X) = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(x) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2$ .

Therefore,  $E(X^2) = \sigma^2$ . [1]

Hence,  $X^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . [1]

(b) Find the method of moments estimator for  $\sigma$ .

**Solution.** To obtain moment estimator, we have  $E(X) = 0$ ,

Equating the second population moment to the second sample moment we get,

$$E(X^2) = \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = X^2. \quad [1]$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma^2 = X^2 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma} = |X|.$$

Hence, the moment estimator of  $\sigma$  is  $|X|$ . [1]

8. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the probability density function

$$f(x|\mu, \lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}\right)^{1/2} \exp\{-\lambda(x - \mu)^2/(2\mu^2 x)\}, \quad x > 0.$$

Find the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ . [7]

**Solution.** Given that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a random sample from the probability density function

$$f(x|\mu, \lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}\right)^{1/2} \exp\{-\lambda(x - \mu)^2/(2\mu^2 x)\}, \quad x > 0.$$

The likelihood function is given by

$$L(\lambda, \mu|x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi x_i^3} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\lambda(x_i - \mu)^2 / (2\mu^2 x_i) \right\} \right]. \quad [1]$$

Therefore, the log likelihood function is given by

$$\log L(\lambda, \mu|x) = l = \frac{n}{2} \log \lambda - \frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \frac{\lambda}{2\mu^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{x_i} \right). \quad [1]$$

The likelihood equation with respect to  $\mu$  is given by  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu} = 0$ .

This gives

$$\frac{-\lambda}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left( \frac{1}{\mu^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{x_i} \right) \right) = 0.$$

$$\implies \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}. \quad [2]$$

The likelihood equation with respect to  $\lambda$  is given by  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} = 0$ .

This gives

$$\frac{n}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\mu^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{x_i} \right) = 0.$$

$$\implies \hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{x_i} - \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \right)}. \quad [2]$$

Hence, the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are  $\bar{X}$  and  $\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{\bar{X}_i} - \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \right)}$  respectively. [1]