Solution to Critical-Section Problem

- Solution must satisfy three requirements:
 - Mutual Exclusion If process Pi is executing in its critical section, then no other processes can be executing in their critical sections
 - 2. Progress If no process is executing in its critical section and there exist some processes that wish to enter their critical section, then only those processes that are not executing in their remainder section can participate in the decision on which will enter its critical section next and this selection cannot be postponed indefinitely
 - 3. Bounded Waiting A bound must exist on the number of times that other processes are allowed to enter their critical sections after a process has made a request to enter its critical section and before that request is granted
 - Assume that each process executes at a nonzero speed
 - No assumption concerning relative speed of the N processes

Classic s/w soln: Peterson's Solution

- Restricted to two processes
- Assume that the LOAD and STORE instructions are atomic; that is, cannot be interrupted (not true for modern processors)
- The two threads share two variables:
 - int turn;
 - Boolean flag[2]
- The variable turn indicates whose turn it is to enter the critical section.
- The flag array is used to indicate if a process is ready to enter the critical section. flag[i] = true implies that process P_i is ready!

Algorithm for Process P_i

do {

```
flag[i] = TRUE;
turn = j;
while ( flag[j] && turn == j);
CRITICAL SECTION
flag[i] = FALSE;
REMAINDER SECTION
} while (TRUE);
```

- Mutual exclusion because only way thread enter critical section when flag[j] == FALSE or turn == TRUE
- 2) Only way to enter section is by flipping flag[] inside loop
- 3) turn = j allows the other thread to make progress



Synchronization Hardware

- Many systems provide hardware support for critical section code
- Uniprocessors could disable interrupts
 - Currently running code would execute without preemption
 - Generally too inefficient on multiprocessor systems
 - Have to wait for disable to propagate to all processors
 - Operating systems using this not broadly scalable
- Modern machines provide special atomic hardware instructions
 - Atomic = non-interruptable
 - Either test memory word and set value
 - Or swap contents of two memory words

Solution using TestAndSet

```
    Definition of TestAndSet:
boolean TestAndSet (boolean *target) {
boolean rv = *target;
*target = TRUE;
return rv:
}
```

- Shared boolean variable *lock*., initialized to false.
 - Solution:

do {
 while (TestAndSet (&lock))
 ; /* do nothing
 // critical section
 lock = FALSE;
 // remainder section
} while (TRUE);

Solution using Swap

```
Definition of Swap:
void Swap (boolean *a, boolean *b) {
        boolean temp = *a;
        *a = *b;
        *b = temp:
  Shared Boolean variable lock initialized to FALSE; Each
  process has a local Boolean variable key.
  Solution:
     do {
         key = TRUE;
         while (key == TRUE)
             Swap (&lock, &key);
              // critical section
          lock = FALSE;
             remainder section
        } while (TRUE);
```

Solution with TestAndSet and bounded

```
boolean waiting[n]; boolean lock; initialized to false
<u>Pi can enter critical section iff waiting[i] == false or key == false</u>
do {
    waiting[i] = TRUE;
    key = TRUE;
    while (waiting[i] && key)
         key = TestAndSet (&lock);
    waiting[i] = FALSE;
    // critical section
    i = (i + 1) \% n;
    while ((j != i) \&\& !waiting[j])
         i = (i + 1) \% n;
    if (i == i)
         lock = FALSE;
    else
         waiting[j] = FALSE;
    // remainder section
} while (TRUE);
```

Classic synchronization problems

- Bounded buffer problem
- Readers-writer problem
- Dining-philosophers problem
- The Sleeping Barber problem



Bounded buffer problem

- N element buffer, producer and consumers work with this buffer
- Consumers cannot proceed till producer produced something
- Producer cannot proceed if buffer == N



Reader-writer problem

- Shared database, any number of readers can concurrently read content. Only one writer can write at any one time (with exclusive access)
- Variations:
 - No reader will be kept waiting unless a writer has already received exclusive write permissions
 - Once a writer is ready, it gets exclusive permission as soon as possible. Once a writer is waiting, no further reads are allowed



Dining philosopher's problem

- five philosophers think for some time and then eat
 - Philosophers can only eat if they have both their left and right chopsticks/forks/ at the same time





The Sleeping Barber Problem

A barbershop consists of a waiting room with N chairs, and the barber room containing the barber chair. If there are no customers to be served the barber goes to sleep. If a customer enters the barbershop and all chairs are busy, then the customer leaves the shop. If the barber is busy, then the customer sits in one of the available free chairs. If the barber is asleep, the customer wakes the barber up.



Deadlock and starvation

- Deadlock: processes waiting indefinitely with no chance of making progress
- Starvation: a process waits for a long time to make progress



Semaphore synchronization primitive



Introduce a simple function called semaphore:

- Semaphore is an integer, S
- Only legal operations on S are:
 - Wait() [atomic] if S > 0, decrement S else loop
 - Signal() [atomic] increment S

```
wait (S) {
while S <= 0
; // no-op
```

```
S--;
```

```
signal (S) {
    S++;
```

Counting (S: is unrestricted), binary (mutex lock) (S: 0, 1)

Semaphore usage example

Assume synch is initialized to 0

- P2: Wait(synch);
 Statements2;
- P1:
 - Statements1;
 - signal(synch);



Monitors

- A high-level abstraction that provides a convenient and effective mechanism for process synchronization
- Only one process may be active within the monitor at a time monitor monitor-name

```
// shared variable declarations
procedure P1 (...) { .... }
```

```
procedure Pn (...) {.....}
Initialization code ( ....) { ... }
```



What happens to shared variables which are not protected by this monitor?

Condition Variables

condition x, y;

Two operations on a condition variable:
 x.wait () – a process that invokes the operation is suspended.

x.signal () – resumes one of processes (if any) that invoked x.wait ()

